

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,319	10/15/2004	Hitoshi Ban	0020-5314PUS1	9218	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 03/28/200 'ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747			O'DELL, DAVID K		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/28/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	10/511,319	BAN ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David K. O'Dell	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) \(\) A reply was received on \(\) (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated	, which is after the	expiration of the
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 3	7 CFR 1.113 (a) to t	he final rejection.

(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).

(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).

(d) No reply has been received.

2. 🔲 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated
), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of
Allowance (PTOL-85).
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(a) The increase and subtleation for it continues to provide the matter of the continues of

(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of

Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.

(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.

4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.

5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.

6, The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

7. The reason(s) below:

See Continuation Sheet

/Rita J Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: A call was placed to Mr. Mark J. Nuell on March 20, 2008, who indicated that no response was filed in this application.

/David K. O'Dell/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625 .